UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN FILED (I) SOUTHERN DIVISION

KENNETZ	C.	CAPTER	
Creditor/Objector			

2015 APR 14 P 3: 32

U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT LE.D. MICHIGAN-DETROIT

Chapter 9

Case No. 13-53846

Magistrate Judge: Thomas J. Tucker

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN,
Debtor/Municipality

V

In re

OBJECTION TO NOTICE OF MOTION OF THE CITY OF DETROIT, PURSUANT TO SECTIONS105(a) AND 502(c) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE AND BANKRUPTCY RULE 3021, FOR AN ORDER APPROVING RESERVE AMOUNT FOR CERTAIN DISPUTED OR UNLIQUIDATED UNSECURED CLAIMS IN CONNECTION WITH DISTRIBUTIONS TO BE MADE UNDER THE EIGHTH AMENDED PLAN FOR THE ADJUSTMENT OF THECITY OF DETROIT

NOW COMES the above creditor/objector in this response object to the document disguised as a notice, pursuant to Section 105(a) and 502(c) of the bankruptcy code and bankruptcy rule 3021 for an order approving reserve amount for certain disputed or unliquidated unsecured claims in connection with distributions to be made under the Eighth Amended Plan for the Adjustment of the city of Detroit and for the following reasons:

1) The Plan of Adjustment, confirmation of the plan and anything connect-

ed or stemming from the plan of Adjustment was not filed in good faith manner and has to complied with the Fed. R. of bankruptcy procedures 2002(f) and code 11 USC 923 governing the notice. There have been numerous objections to the violations of your way of so called notifying peoples in the following objection Docket Number: 8306, 8233, 8314, 8428,8310.

- 2) I have not been legally notified personally or publicly and a associate just stumble across it on the internet. We have not been notified and most the people contacted have not received a notice and more time is need to consult legal assistant and advice.
- 3) We object further to this notice also because there never was a legal vote on the plan the only attempt was with counterfeit ballots and violation of the election laws MCL 168.485. The plan of adjustment and confirmation of the plan was unconstitutional and deprived and denied us Basic Civil Right 42 USC 1983 and due process of law of the 5th and 14th Amendments of the Constitution of the United States.
- 2) We also objected to the impairment and diminishing the health care and pension benefits that is protected by Article 9 Section 24 Constitution of the State of Michigan and the 10th Amendment of the Federal Constitution.
 - 3) The pension has double from two and half (21/2) billon to (41/2)

four and half to five (5 Billon) from 2012 to 2014.

- 4) We never had a hearing and as stated above no notice as well and this violated the Supreme Court Decision a person must have <u>timely</u> and <u>adequate notice</u> detailing the reasons for the notice and an effective opportunity to defend. Goldberg v. Kelly 397 U.S. 254 (1970) citing Armstrong v. Manzo, U.S. 545, 552 (1965).
- 5)We object and disagree with your letter because the so-called Recoupment and it doesn't apply to me or any other retirees and/or pensioners because there no grounds to recoup. The word recoupment means "It is keeping back something which is due because there is an equitable reason to withhold it; and is uniformly applied where a man bring an action for breach of a contract between him and the defendant; and where the latter can show that some stipulation in the same contract was made by the plaintiff, which he has violated, the defendant may, if he choose, instead of suing in his turn, recoupe his damages arising from the breach committed by the plaintiff. Ives v. Van Eppes 22 Wend, N.Y. 156. And see Barber v. Chapin, 28 Vt. 413 Black's Law Dictionary revised 4th Edition. We don't owe anything and have not agree to pay anything and their is no contract of recoupment.

WHEREFORE and all the above facts and law we Object to this notice Section 105(a), 502(c) and Bankruptcy Rule 3021 and request that this notice be voluntary rescinded, withdrawn or cancel or be declared null and void because of its failure to be presented in good faith manner and failure to comply with the Bankruptcy Rules and code and contravening due process of the law.

Sincerely submitted

Name interest party/creditor/objector

Address: 18575 SARATOGA BLUD.

City and state LATHRUP VILLAGE, MICHIGAN 480 76

Date 4-1-15

United States Bankruptcy Court

	<u>ERETERN</u> District C	OF MICHIGAD
In re	Set forth here all names including married, maiden, and trade names used by debtor within last 6 years.]) Case No. 13 - 53846 - 4 5 +
	18575 SARATEG4)
	CATHRUP VILLAGE, MICH. 480%) Chapter <u>?</u>
Employe	r's Tax Identification (EIN) No(s). [if any]:	,)
Last four	digits of Social Security No(s).:)
	NOTICE OF [MOTION TO]	[OBJECTION TO]
K	ANTINE CAPTED has filed papers with the court	to [relief sought in motion or objection].
	Your rights may be affected. You should read our attorney, if you have one in this bankruptcy ish to consult one.)	
conside	If you do not want the court to [relief sought in more your views on the [motion] [objection], then on or	
	[File with the court a written request for a hearing response, an answer, explaining your position] at: {address of the bankruptcy clerk's office}	united State Bankruptcy 211 w Foot Suite 2100
	If you mail your {request}{response} to the court f	الم.
	so the court will receive it on or before the date sta	ted above
	You must also mail a copy to:	corporation Council
	{movant's attorney's name and address}	Detroit Michigan 18 221
	{names and addresses of others to be serve	ed}]
	[Attend the hearing scheduled to be held on(date Courtroom, United States Bankruptcy Court,	
	[Other steps required to oppose a motion or objection	on under local rule or court order.]
relief so	If you or your attorney do not take these steps, the bught in the motion or objection and may enter an order	-
Date:		Signature: Sand C. CARTER Address: 1 22 2 5 18 1 To 2 18

13-53846-tjt Doc 9659 Filed 04/14/15 Entered 04/15/15 09:37:15 Page 5 of 7

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISON



115	A Discourses	
Fn	BANKRUPTEL COU	RT
L.U.	MICHIGAN DETROI	T

Carl	Williams	
Name		

In re: CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN AND EMERGENCY MANAGER KEVYN D. ORR

V

Chapter 9
Case No. 13-53846
Magistrate judge:
Thomas J. Tucker

Debtor	
	/

PROOF OF SERVICE

Say that on April 2015. I sent a copy of Notice of motion of the City of Detroit, pursuant to section 105(a) and 502 (c) of the bankruptcy code and Rule 3021 for order approving reserve amount for certain disputed or unliquidated unsecured claims in connection with distributions to be made under the Eighth amended plan of adjustment of the city of Detroit of Magistrate Judge Thomas J Tucker, upon the concern parties by certified mail at the following address:

Heather Lennox (OH 0059649 JONES DAY North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44114

I/We hereby certify that the statements made herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, under penalty of perjury and contempt of Court under the laws of the United States of America.

		0	Lan		
~ •	0 1	1 1	1111	1	
Sign	lan	116	, VU.	dins	
21611	VUI		XXX	NUM	